

COULD FIND NO COAL TRUST

LEWIS' HUNT IS OVER WITH A DISAPPOINTING DAY.

Coal carriers inform him that the railroad industry is not a trust. Mr. Lewis, who has been hunting for a coal trust since 1895, tried to make some money in 1896. He had a list of names of coal carriers, but he found that they were not a trust. He had a list of names of coal carriers, but he found that they were not a trust. He had a list of names of coal carriers, but he found that they were not a trust.

The meeting of the monster hunters wound up for good yesterday afternoon, and last night Senator Lewis and the whole crew went back to Albany. They were as jaded and tired a lot of men as could be found in a week's travel. One of them confessed to a SUN reporter that the strain of the hunt had been simply awful; that he had been up all night long, and that he had been up all night long, and that he had been up all night long.

Why, he said, "I would wake up in the middle of the night and they would be dancing all over me—the room would be full of the most horrible looking girls that you could imagine. They were like the things James Whitcomb Riley wrote about the kids against. Light! It Riley would be shudder to think of it. Why, I would just get off in sleep and these things labeled 'Sugar,' 'Tobacco,' 'Wallpaper,' and 'Coal' would pick me up, hurl me down, down, until I woke up in a cold perspiration. Good Lord, deliver me from such a nightmare!"

The last official announcement of the committee was that they were going to ask the Legislature to give them until Tuesday, March 2, to recover and to make their report. The examination of the coal monster developed the fact that in 1896 the various coal carriers carried to Albany, New York, a total of 1,000,000 tons of coal. This was a record for the year, and it was a record for the year.

San Sloan was the first witness. He said that he had lived in New York city, that he had been for thirty years and was a coal carrier. He had been for thirty years and was a coal carrier. He had been for thirty years and was a coal carrier. He had been for thirty years and was a coal carrier. He had been for thirty years and was a coal carrier.

Q—Did the demand exceed the allotment, then?

A—No, the demand was within the allotment.

Q—Fixed by whom? A—By the law of supply and demand.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Law had said to Mr. Sloan: "Will you subpoena me, or will he come without it?"

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

how it was that between 1894 and 1895 the output of coal increased 5,000,000 tons, while between 1895 and 1896 it decreased 3,000,000 tons.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

how it was that between 1894 and 1895 the output of coal increased 5,000,000 tons, while between 1895 and 1896 it decreased 3,000,000 tons.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

Q—Did the committee look at the figures?

A—No, the committee did not look at the figures.

HIRED OUT AS WITNESSES.

TESTIMONY OF THREE DETECTIVES ABOUT TWO LAWYERS.

They say they were engaged and coerced to testify in suits for damages against Street Railroads—Benjamin and Louis Oppenheim held for further examination.

Benjamin and Louis Oppenheim, brothers, and partners in the law firm of Oppenheim & Oppenheim, of 100 Broadway, were examined before Magistrate Wentworth in the Morrisania Court yesterday morning charged with violating sections 112 and 113 of the Penal Code, or with having incited or induced various persons to commit perjury or to give false testimony as witnesses. Abraham Levy appeared for the defense, and District Attorney D. Frank Lloyd for the people.

There are a certain number of lawyers in the city who follow up accident cases reported by the police and undertake to carry on damage suits for a contingent fee. Such lawyers are called "ambulance chasers" by the vulgar. The explanation for the charge against the Oppenheims is that they were the attorneys for a mark made by Lawyer Levy yesterday.

"It has been suggested," he said, "that my clients are 'ambulance chasers.' I absolutely and indignantly deny any such accusation."

An amusing preliminary of the examination was the reading and recounting of requests by various lawyers of the name of Oppenheim for the examination of the Oppenheims.

Oppenheim that reporters by requested by Magistrate Wentworth to publish to the world that they were not the Oppenheims on trial.

Girolamo Bella, an Italian detective employed by Stanley S. Baggs, who in turn was employed by the Central Cross-Town Railroad Company, testified that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

But his testimony is chronologically the beginning of the story. Bella told how Mr. Baggs, his employer, had instructed him to go to the shop of a barber in West Eleventh street. The barber's daughter, Baggs said, had been injured by a Central Cross-Town car. The railroad company wanted to investigate the case.

WIND-UP OF THE INQUIRY.

The committee was in a disheveled condition when this young man finished. They didn't know where to go, and they didn't know what to do. They didn't know where to go, and they didn't know what to do.

Clinton W. Winer of Warwick, N. Y., is the Vice-President of the Stevens Coal Company of New York. He was examined by the committee.

The committee asked him some hundred or more questions about conferences at which the price was fixed. Mr. Winer insisted that the price was fixed by the railroad company.

Mr. Winer said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

Then said, "If you, Italian man witness; jury, I will be the witness." He said that he had been employed by the railroad company to investigate the case of the Oppenheims.

Whereupon Bella procured his employer, Mr. Baggs, who he introduced under the name of Frank Norman. Oppenheim coached "Norman" to appear on the witness stand in the case of the Oppenheims.

CITS' UNION SUITS STRONG.

MAYOR SAYS "A GRAND THING"—ALSO "I'M NOT A CANDIDATE."

Didn't Join Last Year. He Should Be Supported. Will Take Off His Coat and Work for the Candidate. He Who It Will—A. Hurrah for Low and Jupiter, Take My Hat.

Mayor Strong thinks that the new municipal movement, known as the Citizens' Union, is "a grand thing." He said so yesterday, and he said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.

He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it. He said that he would support it.</